UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,949	12/22/2006	P.T.G Sillekens	9310-151	1079

20792 7590 09/29/2009

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC
PO BOX 37428
RALEIGH, NC 27627

EXAMINER	
TUNG, JOYCE	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1637	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,949	SILLEKENS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joyce Tung	1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-21* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-12* is/are rejected.

7)☒ Claim(s) *13-21* is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date *3/13/07&2/14/04*.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 13-21 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 13-21 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-4, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laue et al. (7374883, issued May 20, 2008) in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)).

Laue et al. disclose a method for detecting Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-associated virus (SARS). A real time RT-PCR reaction is performed in which a forward primer binds to a region defined by nucleotides 69-98 of SEQ ID NO: 1 and a reverse primer binds to a region defined by nucleotides 123-168 of SEQ ID NO: 1 and a probe labeled with a fluorescent dye binds to a region defined by nucleotides 89-132 of SEQ ID NO: 1 for the detection (see column 2, lines 4-24). As indicated in the search report, the nucleotides 164 to 297 of SEQ ID NO: 1 comprise instant SEQ ID NO: 1 and the nucleotides 44 to 122 of SEQ ID NO: 1 comprise instant SEQ ID NO: 2 (see the search report). A PCR-derived construct comprises a promoter sequence for T7 RNA polymerase (see column 8, lines 2-7). The primers used in the method are 18-31 nucleotides in length (see column 2, lines 10-14).

Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within instant SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 2 for amplifying a target sequence of the genome of SARS Coronavirus with a reasonable expectation of success because Laue et al. disclose a method of detecting SARS with a pair of primers and a known sequence, and Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract). It would have been prima facie obvious to construct a pair of oligonucleotides from within the instant SEQ ID NOs: 1 and 2 for amplifying a target sequence of the genome of SARS Coronavirus as claimed.

4. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the attached search report citing An et al. in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)) and Laue et al. (7374883, issued May 20, 2008).

The teachings of Laue et al. and Lowe et al. are set forth in section 3 above.

As indicated by the search report, An et al. disclose a nucleic acid sequence from SARS virus which comprises instant SEQ ID NOs: 14 and 17 (see the attached search reports)

One of ordinary skill in the would have been motivated to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within instant SEQ ID NOs: 14 and 17 for amplifying a target sequence encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus with a reasonable expectation of success because An et al. disclose a known nucleic acid sequence, Laue et al. disclose a method of detecting SARS with a pair of primers and Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract). It would have been prima facie obvious to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within SEQ ID NOs 14 and 17 for amplifying a target sequence encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus as claimed.

5. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briese et al. (20040265796, issued Dec. 30, 2004) in view of Lowe et al. (Nucleic acid research, 1990 Vol. 18(7)).

Briese et al. disclose a PCR and real time PCR assay for detecting the SARS-associated coronavirus. The assay allows for rapid molecular detection and has improved sensitivity and specificity (see [0008]). A kit for the detection is also provided. The kit comprises a primer set

comprising at least two nucleic acid sequences (see [0014]). As indicated in the search report, SEQ ID NO: 1 comprises instant SEQ ID NOs: 23, 26 and 34(see pg. 10 and the search report). As indicated in the search report, the nucleic acid in fig.1 comprises instant SEQ ID NO: 31 (see the search report). SEQ ID NO: 1 includes the 3' non-coding region of the SARS-associated coronavirus genome and a portion of the N gene of the SARS-associated coronavirus genome (see pg. 2, [0019]).

The teachings of Lowe et al. are set forth in section 3 above.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within instant SEQ ID NOs: 23, 26, 31 and 34 for amplifying a target sequence located within the gene encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus with a reasonable expectation of success because Briese et al. disclose an assay of detecting SARS with a pair of primers from a known sequence, the assay allows for rapid molecular detection and has improved sensitivity and specificity (see [0008]) and Lowe et al. disclose a computer program for selecting oligonucleotide primers for PCR from a known sequence (pg. 1758, column 1) and the primer is specific and effective (see pg. 1757, the Abstract). It would have been prima facie obvious to construct a pair of oligonucleotides within SEQ ID NO: 23, 26, 31 and 34 for amplifying a target sequence located within the gene encoding the nucleocapsid protein of the genome of SARS Coronavirus as claimed.

Summary

6. No claims are allowed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joyce Tung whose telephone number is (571) 272-0790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenneth R Horlick/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637

/Joyce Tung/
Examiner, Art Unit 1637
September 17, 2009